

REVOLUTION

FIGHTING PAPER FOR WORKING CLASS
YOUTH • ISSUE 5 • 20p

THIEVES!

Scrap the

Royals!



Kick out the

Tories!



INSIDE Battle of
Hyde Park
Cuba • What went wrong in
Russia? • Animal rights?

CJB DEMO

You can run, but you can't Hyde!

by Zebedee

The recent demonstration against the Criminal Justice Bill on October 9 ended in the worst defeat for the police since the Poll Tax riot.

The march ended peacefully with a rally in Hyde Park. Then people gathered around a mobile sound system to dance. They were not causing any trouble. The police obviously decided to implement the Bill early, and decided to stop this disgraceful "emission of a succession of repetitive beats". They formed lines around the ravers. The lines were four or five thick with dozens of police vans behind. It was very intimidating, an attempt to threaten the ravers. The sound system left without much incident.

But then people were not allowed to return to their coaches. Liz from Manchester said:

"I went to one end but I was turned back, so I went to the other end and the police blocked the exit there as well."

These police actions caused confusion and anger. Eventually scuffles broke out between demonstrators and police. Mounted police charged to break up the crowd, chasing people and cracking heads with their batons. When they charged, the demonstrators charged back. They were incredibly brave, chasing at least three units of mounted police around before giving them a working class escort away from the demonstrators. This was the first clue to how the day would end.

The second major incident occurred a few minutes later when riot and mounted police had assembled deeper in the park and continuously charged the crowd. Again the crowd fought back chasing the police onto Park Lane just outside the park. The mounted police were forced into a hasty retreat. They penned themselves into a section of Park Lane separated only by railings between them and the crowd. By now spirits and confidence were sky high because we had already inflicted two defeats on the Old Bill! The marchers went on to inflict another by

pelting the police with everything they had (which wasn't much, despite the claims that the demonstrators had come prepared for trouble, because if they had they would have been able to throw more than flimsy bits of wood from placards.)

There are important lessons to be learnt from the day. Firstly it shows that the police are not neutral. They attacked a peaceful demonstration because they want to keep us in our place - after all, that's what the Criminal Justice Bill is all about! Secondly it shows that they are not invincible: if the youth on the demo could

see them off with a bit of bravery and determination, just think what millions of working class people could do with a bit of organisation - we could turn this country upside down!

Thirdly it proves that there is much to be gained from organising self-defence of marches. That way we can ensure that whenever police try and break up demos, squats, picket lines or occupations, which they will have the legal power to do when the CJB is passed, we can resist. In the words of Cypress Hill, we ain't goin' out like that.★

we were

The Tory press like the Sun and the Star told total lies about the violence after the CJB demo. REVOLUTION is a newspaper who support the Bill because they want to keep the rest of us in line. REVOLUTION is a newspaper that our readers saw at the demo - the truth.

"I took part in a very peaceful protest against the CJB which the papers failed to mention. Then I sat in the park for about an hour. It was very peaceful until the police locked the gates."
Annamarie C.

"I was a bit of a fluff before it started but when I saw what the police were doing - well they got what they deserved."
A Leicester coalition member

"In the papers they made out it was mindless violence. This is just bullshit. When fire engines went by people shouted 'don't throw, they are workers, trade unionists!' While the fire engines went by not one missile was thrown."
Chris.

"It was the best day of my life so far. At first I thought we'd get massacred. The police came charging in time and

time again, battering anyone who got in their way. People were going under the horses. At first we were all scared but then we got confident - we got the hang of it. When the police horses charged we parted - they went through the middle - then we closed up behind them and let them have it - fucking brilliant. They did one last really long charge. Then they gave up and tried to gallop out of the park. Thousands of us were chasing after them. We had won the battle, we had defended the demonstration in the park - it was brilliant. It was better than 'E'."
Dave W

"I've been on the last two demos against the CJB and have seen how the police have dealt with both demonstrations. On the second demo, from Westminster Hall to Parliament, the violence was caused by the riot police not allowing us to march to and lobby parliament. The police used force to

Scrap Royal Scounders!



He talks to plants. He wants to be a tampax. He hates his dad. He cried at school. He wants to be King of England.

Charles Windsor, Prince of Wales, is having trouble with his wife. He wants to take his kids fox hunting. She wants to take them house hunting.

Every day the papers ask - will they or won't they? Will they divorce? Will they become king and queen? Will they wreck the monarchy? Will they ever shut up?

The royal family are in a complete mess. Good. This "beloved institution", as John Major called them, behave like they belong in one - and a pretty secure one at that.

There's such a battle raging that it is tempting to take sides - like Di, but think Charles is a nut; respect the Queen but think Prince Philip is a shifty old git; admire Princess Anne, but hope the next fishbone in the throat finally chokes the the queen mum.

That's the idea. To try and rescue the whole idea of the monarchy the press is drawing us into the family feud. If they can get us to sympathise with one or another of these misfits then maybe we will keep a king or queen as head of state after all.

Don't believe it. The monarchy means an unelected head of state, dripping in millions of pounds of unearned wealth and with the power to dissolve elected parliaments, dismiss elected prime ministers (which the queen did in Australia in 1975), and declare war.

If the bosses ever have an emergency - a war and revolution - these powers will be used. In other words, if the power and wealth of the bosses is threatened then the power and wealth of monarchy will be used against us.

That is why the British army swear an oath of allegiance to the monarchy, not the people. It is why, despite the scandals, the ruling class is scheming about how to preserve the monarchy. They want it kept, in case they need it in the future.

At the moment they'd be better off with Disney's Lion King. Cartoon characters don't get their photographs taken sucking toes or drying their private parts in French villas. There's also less chance of them breeding with each other.

But we don't want Elizabeth, Charles or Simba. We want to get rid of the whole lot of them. The monarchy should be scrapped.

The queen's visit to Russia caused a lot of fuss because the heartless Bolshevik revolutionaries shot the Russian royal family.

Well, the Bolsheviks were right. The Tsar was a mass murderer who robbed his people blind. He was an anti-semite who organised massacres of Jews. And he was a figurehead for all the right wing generals who launched a bloody civil war against the Russian people.

When we have a revolution here we may have to do the same. Or we could be really cruel and come up with something that the royals will find even more uncomfortable - make them do a day's work for a change.

there...

nal Justice Bill Demo. What a surprise: after all the papers are all owned by millionaires different sort of paper, written by working class youth for working class youth. Here's what

block our way and to make us go back, splitting us up. The police, after closing off all the roads, chased us down the streets, with horses and raised truncheons, over the river and along main roads, causing loads of congestion.

The police are even stopping us from protesting before the CJB has been passed.

Knowing what actually happened in demonstrations I also know how the press have been lying, especially their reports on the second demo which was almost entirely passive." **Adam KM**

"The police were using scare tactics - loudspeakers, dogs, riot gear and horses - to disperse the crowds, who were mainly pacifists sitting down in front of Parliament. The police, after warnings that force would be used against us, brought horses forward, trapping people in and causing panic".

Sam P

"I think its stupid the police beating up people for no proper reason!" **Tom**

"The police were swamping us with military tactics to break up a peaceful demonstration." **Matt M**

"We were determined that the police wouldn't be able to smash up our demonstration. And we were determined to tell the government that we don't want their stinking laws that keeps us in control so that they can keep getting richer while the rest of us go to hell.

That's why unarmed demonstrators were prepared to dodge charging police horses and then try to get the police off of them.

And thats why demonstrators were taking on riot police with truncheons and shields. Now that's what I call brave." **Dave E. ★**

IDEAS

"There's no point in having a revolution - it would just end up like Russia". We hear this every time we raise socialist arguments in school, at college, or at home. So what happened in Russia? Dave, a REVOLUTION supporter from Leicester, tells the real story.

THE RUSSIAN revolution of October 1917 was the most important event of the twentieth century. It changed the face of history. The Russian workers, organised and led by the *Bolshevik Party*, smashed the state power of the capitalists and the rich. They broke up the bosses' police force and bureaucracy. All armed power and authority passed into the hands of the working people. They set themselves the task of building a world socialist society, based on mutual co-operation and production for need instead of profit.

But the revolution never finished its job. The task of building socialism still lies ahead of us.

Under Stalin in the 1920s and 30s, Russia became a monstrous caricature of socialism. Instead of being a society controlled by the workers it became a totalitarian dictatorship. Workers were not allowed any freedom to express their ideas and had no control over their workplaces, their communities or their country. The so-called socialist state was not used to protect and fight for people's rights but to spy on people and make sure there was no opposition to Stalin and his government. Millions of people, including socialists, were sent to die in labour camps if they disagreed with what was happening.

So are the pessimists right when they say socialism is an impossible dream? Is capitalism just something we have to learn to live with? Will all revolutions start with hope but end in tyranny?

In 1917 the backbone of the Russian Revolution was the Soviets. These started out as councils made up from delegates of workers, peasants and soldiers. They came together to organise the fight for freedom - against the Tsar (the Russian King), against the factory owners, against police persecution and against war.

They were real democracy in action. If your delegates broke their promises or did not argue what you wanted, you could get them out immediately and replace them with someone who did! But they weren't just talking shops. Decisions would be implemented by them as they were made, whether it was a call for a demonstration, a strike, an occupation or the setting up of armed workers' defence to challenge the Tsar's police.

It was *Lenin* and the Bolsheviks who first realised that Soviets could be the basis for a whole new society once the capitalist class had been overthrown. They could be the foundation of a totally new type of state, where workers could directly plan

and implement decisions on what was produced and how it was distributed. They could do this better than a 'normal' parliament which is elected only every five years and can then break all of its promises without being held to account.

The new workers' state pulled Russia out of the bloody First World War which the rich were fighting for profits. They gave the land to the peasants and the factories to the workers. They introduced free abortion, divorce on demand, and tried to set up decent public dining, laundry and nursery facilities, to allow women to have control of their lives instead of being treated like men's property. Homosexuality was legalised and racist anti-Jewish groups were suppressed. They sacked army officers and let the soldiers elect new ones. Their aim was to rotate all government duties so that, as Lenin put it, "all may, for a time become 'bureaucrats' and therefore nobody can become a bureaucrat".

But the Bolsheviks faced serious problems. Russia was a very backward country: 70% of production was based on farming using extremely old-fashioned methods. Only 20% of the population could read or write, limiting office tasks to a minority of the population. The industry of the country had been totally drained by the War.

Even worse, in the middle of 1918 armies from 14 different capitalist countries invaded Russia. They wanted to crush the new workers' state before it could get off its feet. All production had to be geared towards defence rather than development. Compromises that normally would never have been contemplated had to be brought in to defend the state. Plans to elect officers were temporarily scrapped, because they needed military expertise immediately! This meant re-appointing former Tsarist officers to the army, under armed guard. Those workers most committed to socialism were the first to join the Red Army and go to war to defend the revolution. Thou-

Do all revolutions What really hap



Young Bolsheviks in Red Square 1920

sands of committed revolutionaries were wiped out. To meet the needs of the desperate war effort, the running of the factories was centralised in the hands of appointed officials rather than elected delegates. Again this often meant ex-Tsarist officials. But these measures were seen as temporary, necessary evils to be reversed as soon as possible.

The Red Army, led by *Leon Trotsky*, defeated the counter-revolutionary armies and drove them out. But Russia was devastated. Worse still, the revolution was isolated.

The Bolsheviks had always realised that Russia could not achieve socialism on its own. The most urgent task was to spread the revolution world wide. There would need to be successful revolutions in more advanced countries, like Germany and Britain, so that Russia could get technical help. If the workers in the advanced countries could take power then they would be able to send aid to Russia. They would be able to make steel for new rail roads, they could help Russia set up new factories, engineers could come and help them build industry. Without the revolution spreading, the Russian Revolution would go down to defeat. That is why they formed

Why did things go wrong? The Revolution opened in Russia



Communist International. It was made up of Communist Parties all over the world who were trying to spread the revolution.

But no help came. In Germany the revolution after the war was betrayed by the Social Democratic Party. Like the Labour Party in Britain today they were reformists. They opposed revolution and just tried to get a few reforms by working within the system. The workers missed their chance to take power.

In Italy the workers seized control of the factories and the peasants took over the land. They wanted revolution. But their leaders in the reformist Socialist Party said no. The workers paid a terrible price for this missed opportunity. Once the capitalists could see that the Socialist Party were not going to make a revolution, they put Mussolini's Fascists in power.

Only in Hungary did the workers take power for a short time, but they were crushed by invading armies. The Russian workers were alone.

It was the isolation of the revolution that led to its defeat. Some people say this was inevitable - that all power corrupts and so the revolution was bound to go wrong. But what these pessimists ignore is that the revolution started to go wrong because of

real practical problems.

The failure of revolution to spread to the West meant that Russia had to trade and do deals with the capitalists and make all sorts of compromises just to keep things going. A whole new layer of middlemen emerged, and for them compromise was not a necessary evil but a whole way of life. These people became the new bureaucrats. They looked to Stalin to protect their privileges. And they fought a long and bloody campaign to take over the Bolshevik party and the workers state.

Stalin banned all opposition and persecuted all the real socialists in the party. The leaders who had made the revolution were framed up, banished and killed one by one until only Stalin was left. The bureaucrats reversed the socialist measures that had been taken after the revolution. Abortion and homosexuality were banned again. Women were told 'your place is in the family'. The Soviets were turned into bodies to rubber-stamp Stalin's orders. Previously the Bolshevik Party was full of internal debate and discussion. But now the Chief demanded obedience and nothing more.

The idea that only world wide revolution could build socialism was abandoned. The Stalinists argued that socialism could be built in one country alone - Russia. This was rubbish, but the last thing the bureaucrats wanted was revolution or upheaval in another country upsetting their relations with foreign capitalists. In time Stalin ordered the Communist International not to fight for socialist revolution in their own countries but make deals with capitalist parties who he thought would be friendly to Russia. To keep capitalist governments friendly Stalin got Communist Parties to sabotage workers' revolutions in countries like France in 1934 and during the Spanish Civil War of 1936-9. In this way he blocked the one thing that could have saved the Russian Revolution - more revolutions

abroad.

But there were people in the Communist Parties that fought against Stalin. Trotsky and his supporters fought to keep the idea of world revolution alive. They fought for an end to the Stalin dictatorship and for the workers to seize back power from the bureaucrats.

The Stalinists responded with vicious repression of the Trotskyists. First they were expelled from the Bolshevik Party, then driven into exile. They were framed up in show trials and accused of everything under the sun. They were imprisoned, tortured and slaughtered in their thousands. Stalin's agents finally caught up with Trotsky in Mexico in 1940. He was killed by a blow to the head from an ice pick.

Why did the Stalinists bother? Because the Trotskyists never gave up fighting. Every time the Communist International betrayed workers they fought against the betrayal. Every piece of repression, every lie the Stalinists told, the Trotskyists countered with real revolutionary politics. Once they recognised that the Communist International would never be revolutionary again, they tried to build a new International, the *Fourth International*.

Stalinism was a disaster from start to finish. But it was not the inevitable result of revolution. It was the opposite of revolution. By the 1980s Stalin's successors ended up trying to bring capitalism back to Russia - the very system the Russian Revolution had overthrown. That is why today Russia is filled with unemployment, poverty and crime.

To end as we started: the tasks of revolution still lie ahead. They fall to working class youth. Who do not bare the scars of lost battles. Who do not fear the bosses and their police. Who do not look to parties like Labour who will only betray them. And who capitalism has nothing to offer.

Stalinism may have succeeded in destroying the Russian revolution. But there is a new generation rising. We will make new revolutions. We will learn from the past and do everything in our power to build an international movement from the start.

Today, hand in hand with groups in France, Austria, Ireland, Latin America, New Zealand and beyond, **REVOLUTION** is fighting to build an international working class youth movement. Youth are the future - join **REVOLUTION** and shake the world. ★

Adam from REVOLUTION interviewed Carla, a South London school student who has just got back from Cuba.

A rough guide to Cuba

What is happening in Cuba at the moment?

At the moment I'd say there is a big dilemma because they have got this far, but they don't know what is going to happen because they lost their lifeline with other countries and there is a blockade on. Some will stick by Fidel Castro because he's got them this far and others are just sticking it out or trying to get out illegally, and they are seen as traitors in the eyes of other people.

What are the main problems and what are their causes?

The obvious cause is the USA. They can't stand the fact that there is a communist state, its not really a communist state, but the people, most of them think that they are socialist. The USA used to own Cuba. The USA would like it if they could colonise Cuba as they have done in other countries. They say they would lift the blockade if Cuba does this or that. Most of the people in the USA don't really know what's going on because to them the Cubans who have actually gone over there recently on rafts are just like another flow of refugees from Latin America. It's not as if they actually talk to them and know their situation.

Can you give us some history as to why this is happening?

Before the 1950s the USA ran Cuba. They owned gambling centres, hotels. By 1958 the revolutionary groups had got together with Fidel Castro as the leader. Many of the organisers were put into prison. Many of them died. 26 July 1958 was a very important date because that was the first attack on the government led by Batista. In January 1959 they actually managed to overthrow Batista with the help of all the people in Cuba. It wasn't just the military thing which overthrew the government it was the people as a whole who were fighting against the government.

Back to today. What do different groups of youth feel about what is going on?

We actually didn't notice a lot of youth there. We don't know why. They congregate around hotels or just hide away or go to the beach. A lot of them at the beach are



love sick. A lot of them do go to camps, summer camps. I tend to think that they support Fidel because they have got parents who are probably rabbiting on about it the whole time. A lot of them are really confused at the moment because they don't see much alternative to the future of Cuba. They don't know where its going to go.

What about the youth who are fleeing, making makeshift rafts, what's their opinion of Castro?

A lot of the adults have said they don't realise what it was like under capitalism and that until they go and see it they won't realise what Cuba has done for them. They are fleeing because they can't see where Fidel Castro is going. He hadn't spoken in public for the past two years until recently because of the problems. It looked like he was going to step down from power. There are difficulties because nobody can get out of Cuba. There are no visas given from the USA. A lot of youth see it as some alternative. They don't want to stay in a country where they can't get materialistic things. They see it as a good option. Some people say that its better than staying there. Which I don't think is true because at least stay where you've got education and healthcare. Healthcare is low on resources but it's the best you can get and you've got university.

What do you think the youth seek from

the future and from Fidel Castro?

I think they want Fidel to change something within the power. Because they know that the USA says unless there is a more democratic country nothing will happen. People know that even though they have regional elections they don't have any real power and that if they disagreed with something nothing would happen because of the communist leadership but I'm not sure what they want. I think they just want an alternative to the power. They don't want the education and that to change because that's the best thing they've got. People need to realise that capitalism is far worse to live through. The only thing is they are lacking an economy through the blockade. Why does the USA have to have this blockade in the first place?★

What do you think? REVOLUTION believes that without the working people having their own control instead of the Castro dictatorship making all the decisions then the advances made in Cuba will not survive. The youth and the workers need to make a revolution, and all over the world people should be opposing the US blockade which is making life hell.

•For more ideas about Cuba, dictatorship and revolution read *Cuba in Crisis* by Stuart McKee and Colin McKenzie. Write to REVOLUTION for copies.

"Take over the country..."

Dear REVOLUTION people, I cannot attend the dayschool because of unforeseen circumstances but I am interested in it so I would be grateful if you could send me any leaflets or meeting notes. Or any information about your organisation and what it stands for and what your plans are etc. (I have enclosed 2 stamps in case there's a lot).

Ever since I have thought about politics I have realised that with the system we have got now there is no way anything can be achieved except rich people getting richer and poor people getting even poorer and more exploited.

The Tories are just after getting the big companies to make more money and don't care about us, the ones who actually do all the work and make the money FOR the big companies.

The only way we can stop this stupid business is by joining together and TAKING OVER the country that WE HAVE MADE AND THEY HAVE RUINED. They obviously don't know what the hell they are doing with our tax money anyway - their new policy has to do with making school kids play team games (don't they realise that people are dying on the street and that's more

important?) And then there's the police, who have decided they won't bother about people being burgled (which I thought was one of their important jobs, maybe I was wrong) and instead to have thousands and thousands of them just standing around when there's a demonstration.

Did you see them all on Sunday [CJB Demo on 9 October], great crowds of them, and they say they haven't got time to do what they're supposed to do. Puzzles the hell out of me.

Thanks,
Naomi Rosenberg

Animal rights and wrongs

Dear Revolution, I am replying to Adam's letter in the last issue. It is true that capitalism is largely responsible for the sad state of the environment today.

From not using techniques to reduce pollution to companies keeping the development of technology that will help improve things secret, the blame lies with profit.

However the question of "animal rights" is not so simple. I agree that humans have a responsibility not to inflict unnecessary suffering on animals. However do animals have rights the same as we have rights? Let's suppose they do. We could not kill animals under any circumstances.

If we take the plague in India for example. It is spread by rats. Should we tell the people there not to kill these rats as it is a violation of their rights? No, of course not because this plague could potentially wipe out millions. Therefore the lives of animals are subordinate to ours.

In terms of food many vegetarians would argue that we should give up eating meat because we can produce more vegetable food from a certain area than animal. However being able to have a

field of wheat has only happened because we have created farming land in many cases by clearing forests. This would have meant killing animals directly (the ones that live on trees) and indirectly (by removing their habitats).

So it is clear that we have always controlled our environment and must continue to do so. This effects animals. Most of the animals we eat don't just happen to be there but are produced and farmed by humans for our consumption.

So either we produce food so we don't starve be it animal or vegetable, both of which involve killing animals, or we go back to a hunter/gatherer existence.

Adam also uses the argument that if we stop eating meat the crops grown to feed animals could be used to reduce famine.

However there is already enough food in the world to ensure no-one starves.

It is the fact that distributing the food to areas of need such as Africa and Asia is

unprofitable so the surplus food is destroyed instead. The world going vegetarian would under the present system have no effect on the starving millions. The only way of feeding the world is by distributing food whether it is profitable or not and that will only happen under socialism.

Shu,
Manchester

for more subversive
revolutionary propaganda read...

**workers
power**

monthly newspaper of the
workers power group
available from the address on page 8

ONE SOLUTION: REVOLUTION

Revolution is written and produced by youth supporters of Workers Power (Britain) and the League for a Revolutionary Communist International.

WE LIVE in a world full of injustice, oppression and poverty. While millions starve, food is destroyed in order to keep its price up. The latest technology in health care cannot be used in many countries because of the payment of foreign debt.

Even the most basic provisions of a good water supply and decent sanitation are denied to people all around the world. Meanwhile ever greater ecological disasters loom on the horizon.

REVOLUTION does not believe there is anything 'natural' about the state of the world. We believe that what is happening is because of the world wide system of capitalism.

It is a system that runs with the sole intention of making money for a tiny handful of capitalists who own and control industry and the land.

We believe that it is possible to create a new and better world system, a socialist system. It would be an international system which means the fight to achieve it must be international and is why Workers Power (Britain) is part of the League for a Revolutionary Communist International that organises groups from Bolivia to New Zealand.

Because we are internationalists we support all struggles by people oppressed by imperialism - including the struggle to get British troops out of Ireland.

Because we are internationalists we oppose imperialist militarism: we say not a penny or a person for the defence of this system.

A socialist system would be based on common ownership and would produce and organise society to provide the necessities and luxuries of life for all according to a democratically worked out plan.

This means instead of having people homeless because the privately owned land and building companies only build houses when they can make money, we will all own the land, collectively and we will plan that to use the bricks that lie unused and the building workers who are unemployed to build the homes needed.

To get this, industry and land will have to be taken out of the hands of the few. But this group of people, the ruling class, will never allow us to do this without a fight. The government was prepared to mobilise thousands of police to attack the Unity Demo last October, just imagine what they would be prepared to do to protect their whole system of wealth and power. That is why we believe we need a workers revolution.

But we cannot wait until then, we have to fight against exploitation and oppression now. We support all workers' struggles. We support the struggles against women's oppression, racism and homophobia.

Whether it is workers on strike, women fighting for abortion rights or black people fighting against racism it is often young people who are leading these struggles.

We fight for action that will win and in such a way that the struggles of today can prepare us for the revolution of tomorrow. We try to turn today's struggles into a struggle for power by fighting for workers control, workers self-defence etc.

REVOLUTION stands in the tradition of over 150 years of revolutionary socialism. Our movement is based on the ideas of Marx, Lenin and Trotsky, applied to today's conditions.

REVOLUTION aims to build a revolutionary youth movement, to win thousands and thousands of youth to supporting and building a revolutionary party that can lead the working class to power.

So don't just sit there sell **REVOLUTION** and become a supporter! ☺

Educate, agitate, organise!

Since we brought out the last issue of **REVOLUTION** we have been busy. We have been **agitating**. Our supporters got large numbers of school students onto the march against the Tories' Criminal Justice Bill on 9 October. On the demo we sold out of all our copies of **REVOLUTION** and had to produce more. The paper is getting a really good response and is dead easy to sell.

We have been **educating**. We held a dayschool in Manchester on the weekend after the march and had a lively discussion about socialism and how working class people can change the world.

We have been **organising**. We have set up groups of **REVOLUTION** supporters in schools and sixth form colleges.

Youth need a movement of our own, a movement that blames the people who are really to blame - the rich - and unites working class youth, male and female, black and white, to fight for the future - our future.

If you want to change this rotten system, you won't be able to do it on your own. So get organised!

★ Show this copy of **REVOLUTION** to your friends.

★ Send letters, articles and graphics.

★ Set up a **REVOLUTION** group in your school or college.

★ Above all, get in touch with us - we can give you bags of ideas about how to get a group started, we can send you extra copies of **REVOLUTION** to sell, and we can link you up with other people who think like you.

Don't just sit there - join the **REVOLUTION!**★

JOIN REVOLUTION!

- I want to join Revolution
- Please send me [] copies to sell at 20p a copy

Name: _____

Address: _____

Tel: _____

Send to
Revolution BCM Box 7750 London WC1N 3XX